

Corporate Risk Register

Lead Strategic Director: Ian Leivesley

Risk Management Coordinator: Lynn P Ramsden

Initial Register Completion Date: November 2011

Register Review Date: April 2021

Progress update: September 2021

Table of Contents

| 1. | Risk – COVID-19 |
|----|--|
| 2. | Risk - Delivery of Services to Vulnerable Adults |
| 3. | Risk – Safeguarding Children and Adults |
| 4. | Risk – Health & Wellbeing |
| 5. | Risk - Education15 |
| 6. | Risk - Cyber Risk |
| 7. | Risk - Data Protection |
| 8. | Risk - Capacity and Resilience |
| 9. | Risk - Council Finances |
| 10 | . Risk – Keeping Halton's Community Safe27 |
| 11 | . Risk – Changes to Governance Arrangements |
| 12 | . Risk – Mersey Gateway |
| 13 | . Risk – Community Expectations |
| Pa | 3 g e CP-REP-FRM-92.10.1 Strategic Risk Register |

| 14. Risk – Partnerships | 34 |
|--|----|
| 15. Risk – Fraud | 36 |
| | |
| 16. Risk – Funding and Income Generation | 38 |
| Appendix 'A' – Scoring Mechanism | 43 |


Coronavirus (COVID-19)

| Item | Identified risk | Impact (Severity) | Likelihood (Probability) | Unmitigated Risk Score (I x L) | Council Priority Area(s) |
|------|--|----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1 | Worldwide Virus Outbreak | 5 | 5 | 25 | All |
| | Reduced staffing levels beyond normal tolerance levels, across service areas, as a result of absence linked to COVID-19 (self-isolation and / or sickness absence) | | | | |
| | Major impact on frontline services | | | | |
| | Major impact on processing of monthly payroll for Council, schools and external clients | | | | |

| Risk control measures | Residu | al score with implemente | | Timescale / Review | Lead Officer/s |
|--|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| | Impact (Severity) | Likelihood (Probability) | Mitigated Risk Score (I x L) | frequency | |
| Dedicated 'Restricted' Coronavirus SharePoint area within the Local Authority's SharePoint, which is located via the Emergency Planning portal. Key Emergency Plans and documentation are held within this area. | 5 | 4 | 20 | Daily/Weekly/ Monthly as situation evolves/rises/ reduces | Chief Executive (David Parr) |

- The Chief Executive and Senior Management continue to lead on the response and recovery.
- The authority takes part in a number of teleconferences led by the Cheshire Resilience Forus i.e. Strategic and Tactical Group Teleconferences. Local Authority Public Health Team attend a number of these meetings.
- Data and information is shared by key organisaitons and MHCLG to ensure the local authrotiy have the correct level of information to protect and support the community.
- As part of the response and recovery, the Chief Executive has a dedicated agenda item to cover COVID-19 matters at the weekly Management Team meeting. The aim is to assess risk, resilience and progress work programme items.
- As part of the authority's work programme going forward, both a HBC Strategic and Operational Road Map has been produced for next 12 months.
- The authority takes part in a number of teleconferences led by Cheshire Resillience Forum, i.e. Strategic and Tactical Group Teleconferences. These meet on a weekly basis.
- To support the Strategic and Tactical response, a number of Covid-19 Hub Groups have been created and sit-reps are produced i.e. CRF Multi-Agency Major Incident Cell meets on a weekly basis to risk assess all current risks, including Covid, Winter Planning. This MHCLG Sitrep is submitted every Monday.
- Local Authority Public Health continue to take part in a number of health teleconferences.
- Information and actions generated from these teleconfereces are shared appropriately.

- Failure to deliver quality services to vulnerable adults would negatively
 affect the health and wellbeing i.e. increasing complex care needs, ageing
 population, reduction in available funding, recruitment and provider failure.
- A number of tested plans and procedures have been activated to respond and recover to this Major Incident.
- The authority have supported CEV/Shielded Individuals who have required support over the past 12 months. Shielding has ceased 1st April. However, the authority will continue to support isolated individuals where required. Data Registers continue to be received by the authority regarding the CEV individuals.
- Personal Protective Equipment Hub (PPE) opened to provide the necessary equipment to enable relevant staff to continue providing vital services.
- A number of wellbeing resources made available to staff.
- Implement government guidance and PHE direction across the workforce to minimise exposure to virus as far as is possible. This is designed to keep productivity at highest possible level.
- Regular staff communications (Corporate) issued to all staff to direct behaviours.
- Regular briefings issued to schools.
- Home working in place for employee's who can do so.
- Where possible, accommodate home working where employees directed to self-isolate by a health professional / 111.
- NHS Test, Trace and Isolate service in place / 119.
- 'Reset'programme ongoing as government measures relaxed to ensure workplaces are safe for minimum numbers of staff to return.
- Government guidance followed on the opening of schools.
- Local Outbreak Plan and Local Outbreak Hub in place.



DELIVERY OF SERVICES TO VULNERABLE ADULTS

| Item | Identified risk | Impact (Severity) | Likelihood (Probability) | Unmitigated Risk Score (I x L) | Council Priority Area(s) |
|------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|
|------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|

DELIVERY OF SERVICES TO VULNERABLE ADULTS

| Item | Identified risk | Impact (Severity) | Likelihood (Probability) | Unmitigated Risk Score (I × L) | Council Priority Area(s) |
|------|---|----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2 | Failure to deliver quality services to vulnerable adults could negatively affect their health and wellbeing i.e. increasing complex care needs, ageing population, reduction in available funding, recruitment and provider failure. Impact of COVID19 on service delivery Care Home Sector financial sustainability due to the impact of COVID 19 | 5 | 5 | 25 | A Healthy Halton / A Safer Halton |

| Risk control measures | Residual score with measures implemented | | | Timescale / Review | Lead Officer/s |
|---|--|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| | Impact (Severity | Likelihood (Probability) | Mitigated Risk Score (I x L) | frequency | |
| Effectively allocating and using available finances and resources in the delivery of services. Integration of Health and Social Care with a view to improving the outcomes for people using the services, such as joint commissioning arrangements for services, joint strategies, e.g. Carers Strategy and Autism Strategy, etc. Transformation of provider markets so that responsive and sustainable markets in adult social care can be developed and supported, e.g. Care Home Development project and Transforming Domiciliary Care. Delivery of prevention and early intervention to vulnerable adults through a range of services. | 4 | 4 (changed from 5) | 16 (changed from 20) | 6 monthly | Strategic Director - People (Milorad Vasic) |

8 | P a g e CP-REP-FRM-92.10.1 Strategic Risk Register
Hardcopies of this document are considered uncontrolled please refer to the Council website or intranet for latest version.

| • | Effective use of alternative funding streams and community assets to provide |
|---|--|
| | services. |

• Joint approach with Health to ensure efficient quality assurance across all adult social care services.

COVID-19

- Care home resilience plan in place focussing on effective infection prevention and control measures including accessing relevant PPE, support with staffing issues, etc.
- ASC Winter Plan in place and fully implemented focussing on four main areas
 of preventing and controlling the spread of infection in care settings,
 collaboration across health and social care services, supporting people who
 receive social care, the workforce and carers supporting the system.
- Effective use of short term grant allocations to support the resilience of the care home sector.
- Vaccinations programme progressing well with plans to be developed in relation to mandatory vaccinations.

CP-REP-FRM-92.10.1

SAFEGUARDING CHILDREN AND ADULTS

| Item | Identified risk | Impact (Severity) | Likelihood (Probability) | Unmitigated Risk Score (I x L) | Council Priority Area(s) |
|------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|
|------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|

SAFEGUARDING CHILDREN AND ADULTS

| Item | Identified risk | Impact (Severity) | Likelihood (Probability) | Unmitigated Risk Score (I x L) | Council Priority Area(s) |
|------|--|----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| 3 | Failure to support and protect the safeguarding of children and adults could adversely impact on their health, safety and opportunity to reach their potential | 5 | 5 | 25 | A Healthy Halton / Employment, Learning and Skills / Children and Young People / A Safer Halton |

| Risk control measures | Residual score with measures implemented | | | Timescale / Review | Lead Officer/s |
|--|--|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| | Impact (Severity) | Likelihood (Probability) | Mitigated Risk Score (I x L) | frequency | |
| Halton's Children's and Young People Safeguarding Partnership Board fully operational with appropriate resources and are operating within statutory guidance and towards identified priorities. Representatives from the Children's and Adult's Safeguarding Boards to work in partnership through attending corresponding boards. Children's and Adult's Safeguarding Board's to work with strategic groups within the Borough to ensure accountability and effectiveness of safeguarding. Services regularly audit Children's and Adult's cases for quality and consistency of practices. | | 4 | 16 | 6 monthly | Strategic Director - People (Milorad Vasic) |

- Comprehensive suite of performance reports for Children's and Adults are reviewed at least monthly and compared with regional and national benchmarks.
- Progress reports for Children's and Adults are taken to the Board and Members for their attention.
- Improving the health and wellbeing of children and adults through early intervention and treatment services delivered in house and externally via a range of providers and partners.
- Providing efficient safeguards to support vulnerable adults who lack capacity and require the support of the Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards and the Court of Protection.
- Support local care home providers to drive up the quality of care within their homes to ensure positive outcomes for vulnerable adults.
 COVID-19
- 1. Visits to care homes now available for residents and visiting professionals.
- 2. Training sessions, Strategy and MDT Meetings completed by Skype, to mitigate the risk.
- 3. Enhanced Care Home Model completed remotely with wider MDT, including GP's, LLAMS and social care.
- 4. Advocacy have implemented interim measures regarding their approach during the Pandemic.
- 5. NW ADASS group continues to communicate to share learning, ideas and approaches to manage Safeguarding, DoLS and MCA related issues, with the restrictions that are currently in place.
- 6. Mandatory vaccination programme in process of being implemented.

HEALTH AND WELLBEING

| Item | Identified risk | Impact ⁱ (Severity) | Likelihood (Probability) | Unmitigated Risk Score (I x L) | Council Priority Area(s) |
|------|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 4 | Reduced capacity to sustain delivery of services that prevent harm, protect health and promote positive physical, mental and emotional health and wellbeing across the population of Halton Failure to engage with appropriate partners and agencies to respond with adequate safeguards and mitigate against current and potential harms to health and wellbeing. | 5 | 4 | 20 | A Healthy Halton / Environment and Regeneration / A Safer Halton / Children and Young People |

| Risk control measures | | al score with implemente | | Timescale / Review | Lead Officer/s |
|---|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | Impact (Severity) | Likelihood (Probability) | Mitigated Risk Score (I x L) | frequency | |
| Ensuring that the council adequately fulfils its requirement to protect and promote health within the population, including the appropriate delivery of mandated public health services. | 4 | 4 | 16 | 6 monthly | Interim Director of Public Health (Ifeoma Onyia) |
| Oversight of antibiotic stewardship through collaborative approaches to prevent and respond to Antimicrobial Resistance across all organisations and sectors: including the implementation of antimicrobial action plans. | | | | | |

- Development and implementation of local, regional; and national pandemic response plans, to cover influenza and other mass population outbreak scenarios; including involvement in PHE and local LRF coordination and response structures.
- Maintain and improving on trajectories to reduce risk of Health Care Associated Infections (eg MRSA, C. Difficile, CRE, E-Coli etc), including regular monitoring, oversight and assurance of providers, using relevant networks and commissioners.
- Promote and improve uptake of all NHS prevention programmes including immunisation and screening and maintain effective oversight and scrutiny of appropriate NHS commissioner and providers.
- Ensure that appropriate public health engagement and advice is included in the development, maintenance and testing of emergency plans including, adverse weather (heatwave, cold weather and flooding), COMAH.
- Systems are in place for the identifications and mitigation of environmental hazards and mitigation, including ongoing monitoring and assurance of air quality, monitoring of industrial processes, ability to respond to regulatory functions for food hygiene, health and safety.
- Ensure that the we engage with all local providers to maximise opportunity for Halton residents to enhance positive wellbeing

opportunities, reduce emotional and mental ill health and seek appropriate support at times of crisis, including responding to, and preventing suicides.

COVID-19

- Public Health team established 'Halton Outbreak Support Team.'
- Develop and maintain 'Outbreak' plans.
- Following up of positive cases.
- Contact tracing.
- Outbreak mangement and response.
- Consequence management.
- Daily team sit rep meetings.
- Receive and assess regular data and intelligence reports from systems and analyse to translate into local level data.
- Fortnightly Health Protection Board meetings which include local organisations and system leaders.
- Regular meetings across local, regional and national Association of Directors of Public Health, Public Health England, LRF and other relevant system meetings as required.
- Public Health Team linked in with local, regional and national relevant test, track, trace system meetings.
- Community LFD testing provision, liaison with local business's and encouragement of regular HBC staff/schools staff/pupils testing.
- Encouragement and support for staff towards receiving vaccination.
- All of the above to be updated as per changes in government guidance.

CP-REP-FRM-92.10.1

EDUCATION

| Item | Identified risk | Impact (Severity) | Likelihood (Probability) | Unmitigated Risk Score (I × L) | Council Priority Area(s) |
|------|---|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 5 | Risk of not providing a broad and balanced curriculum and a full educational offer to all children and young people Risk of negatively impacting vulnerable and disadvantaged pupils disproportionately on their educational outcomes and wider lifelong opportunities | 4 (changed from 5) | 4 | 16 (changed from 20) | Children an d Young People |

| Risk control measures | | ual score with implemente | | Timescale / Review | Lead Officer/s |
|--|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---|
| | Impact (Severity) | Likelihood (Probability) | Mitigated Risk Score (I x L) | frequency | |
| Schools have now fully reopened, but issus remain where school staff test positive but have not been vaccinated and have to self isolate, impacting upon staffing at the school, ability to deliver the curriculum to pupils, and potentially impacting upon the school's budget through the use of agency/supply staff. | 4 | 4 | 16 | Termly or following any child/young person impacted by self-isolation from Covid case or outbreak | Strategic Director - People (Milorad Vasic) |

15 | Page

CP-REP-FRM-92.10.1

Strategic Risk Register

Hardcopies of this document are considered uncontrolled please refer to the Council website or intranet for latest version.

- All schools have been provided with access to a range of resources which includes Oak Academy, National Tutoring and other online resources if needed.
- Schools and educational provisions to engage in EEF programmes; NCETM or wider intervention strategies to support progress and attainment for children and young people.
- Schools and educational provision identify gaps in learning; design a recovery curriculum appropriate to individual needs and hold high expectations and support for children and young people.
- Schools and educational provisions to closely monitor the impact of catch up and intervention programmes on children and young peoples educational outcomes.
- For maintained schools there has been support and challenge for Leaders and Middle Leaders from School Improvement colleagues.
- Support for children and young peoples health and wellbeing e.g. access to Cahms; educational psychology service; specialist teachers; school based mental health first aider etc.
- All schools and educational provisions to have a trained mental health first aider; a trained SENDCO; pastoral lead and knowledge to signpost youth support services as required.
- All schools and educational provisions work in close partnership with pupils, parents and carers and communicate regularly and sensitively.
- Headteacher recruitment prioritised and supported to provided leadership succession planning.

CP-REP-FRM-92.10.1

COVID-19

- School staff and parents/pupils are requested to follow Public Health England, and Central Government advice and guidance
- Schools have been asked to review and revise their risk assessments.
- LA officers and Public Health colleagues continue to regularly update all
 educational establishments on advice, support, guidance and legislation,
 and provided standard letters at the beginning of the autumn term 2021 for
 schools, colleges, and early years providers to issue to parents.
- All schools and educational provisions to ensure children and young people are supported with return to school; smooth transition and PHSE.
- All schools to ensure they have plans for good quality blended learning to remote/home/blended learning if a Covid case or outbreak were to occur.
- Any impact of a positive covid case/outbreak or self-isolation reported to LA (Public Health/Jill Farrell) to ensure recovery plans are in place and access to remote learning is possible and of high quality.
- Ensure children and young people have access to IT equipment/resources and opportunity to engage in remote/home/blended learning where this is required (now a reduced requirement due to full reopening of schools, early years settings, and the college).
- Educational outcomes.
- Information briefings cascaded to schools Head teachers.
- Weekly consultation meetings with Schools Trade Unions representatives and Health & Safety Team.
- Provision of PPE from DfE for schools with emergency provision of PPE from Local Authority for schools with ongoing support as necessary.

| • Programme | of COVID Secure spot check visits to school sites by Health & | | | |
|-------------|---|--|--|--|
| Safety Team | completed across all sites. | | | |
| | | | | |

CYBER RISK


| Item | Identified risk | Impact (Severity) | Likelihood (Probability) | Unmitigated Risk Score (I x L) | Council Priority Area(s) |
|------|---|----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 6 | Risk of adverse business Impact as a result of the failure of key business systems brought about by cyber incidents | 5 | 5 | 25 | All |

| Risk control measures | | al score with implemente | | Timescale / Review frequency | Lead Officer/s |
|---|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Impact (Severity) | Likelihood (Probability) | Mitigated Risk Score (I x L) | | |
| The Council adopts a range of activities to manage the risk of loss of services as a result of Cyber threats, which includes risk reduction (mitigation), Risk retention (acceptance), Risk avoidance, Risk transfer A dedicated service within ICT Services that has the responsibility of managing the cyber risk facing the Council Clear reporting lines to senior management allowing the risk to be managed | 5 | 4 | 20 | 6 monthly | All Strategic Directors |
| Numerous Compliance regimes that provide the necessary assurance frameworks to demonstrate how the Council complies with industry standards Ongoing education and awareness programme for key staff | | | | | |

DATA PROTECTION

| Item | Identified risk | Impact (Severity) | Likelihood (Probability) | Unmitigated Risk Score (I x L) | Council Priority Area(s) |
|------|---|----------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 7 | Data Protection: Risk of breach of data caused by mishandling of personal datea by inadequate data handling and not adequately preventing and minimising security incidents, including ICT incidents, resulting in loss of data, unlawful sharing of data, reputational damage and significant financial penalties levied by the Information Commissioner's Office Failure to comply with information governance requirements, eg Data Protection Act (General Data Protection Regulation); Freedom of Information Act | 5 | 5 | 25 | All |

| Risk control measures | | al score with implemente | | Timescale / Review frequency | Lead Officer/s |
|---|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Impact (Severity) | Likelihood (Probability) | Mitigated Risk Score (I × L) | | |
| Policies and procedures for council staff including Data Protection | 5 | 3 | 15 | 6 monthly | All Strategic Directors |
| Policy and Information Governance Handbook. | | | | | Directors |
| Wide range of guidance about handling personal data available to council staff on the internal intranet site. | | | | | |
| Mandatory training for council staff via E-Learning module | | | | | |



CAPACITY AND RESILIENCE

| It | tem | Identified risk | Impact (Severity) | Likelihood (Probability) | Unmitigated Risk Score (I x L) | Council Priority Area(s) |
|----|-----|---|----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| | 8 | Reduced capacity to sustain the delivery of services and respond to emergency situations in line with Council Priorities. | 5 | 4 | 20 | Corporate Effectiveness and Efficiency |

| Risk control measures | | al score with implement | | Timescale / Review | Lead Officer/s |
|---|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| | Impact (Severity) | Likelihood (Probability) | Mitigated Risk Score (I × L) | frequency | |
| Maintaining a supportive working environment through shared service organisational ethos, pride and value across Members, staff, management, Unions and partners Focusing delivery of performance on the council's corporate vision and key strategic priorities leading to a clearly understood and shared set of priorities Emphasis on management and leadership standards with recognition of the challenges faced by the Authority leading to managers who are able to direct, inform, develop and support staff. This also enables a focus on succession planning Maintaining a workforce that are skilled, informed, flexible and competent in order to ensure that they deliver efficient and effective services COVID-19, see section 1; Utilise learning from the management of working practices during the pandemic to inform future ways of working and optimise resources. This will | 4 | 4 | 16 | 6 monthly | All Strategic Directors |

| be done in a control | ed way through implementation of a 'Future Work | | | |
|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Programme', sponso | red by Management Team and delivered in a structured | | | |
| way using programn | e management principles. | | | |

COUNCIL FINANCES

| Item | Identified risk | Impact ⁱⁱ (Severity) | Likelihood (Probability) | Unmitigate d Risk Score (I x L) | Council Prior | Council Priority Area(s) | |
|------|---|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----|
| 9 | A significant reduction in the Council's funding from Government grant and/or locally raised business rates/council tax, leads to an inability to deliver the Council's key service priorities, especially those services essential for the support of the most vulnerable members of the community. Covid19 A significant shortfall in Government grant funding provided to meet Covid19 related costs, fees & charges/sales income losses, and ongoing reductions in council tax and business rates income. | 5 | 5 | 25 | Corporate Efficiency | Effectiveness | and |

| Risk control measures | Residual score with measures implemented | | | Timescale / Review | Lead Officer/s |
|--|--|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| | Impact (Severity) | Likelihood (Probability) | Mitigated Risk Score (I x L) | frequency | |
| Financial Planning is undertaken to compare available financial resources with spending requirements over the medium term (3 years), resulting in preparation of the Medium Term Financial Strategy which allows overall budget gaps to be identified at an early stage and appropriate plans put in place to tackle them Proposed developments regarding the future funding of Local Government nationally are monitored, to assess the potential impact for the Council and take account of this within the Medium Term Strategy. Responses have been submitted to the Government's | 5 | 4 | 20 | 6 monthly | Strategic Director - Enterprise Community & Resources (Ian Leivesley) |

consultations on "Fair Funding – a Review of Relative Needs and Resources" and "Business Rates Retention Reform" both individually and jointly with the LCR councils and Sigoma. The Government will announce the outcome of their spending review on 27th October 2021 and have indicated that this will cover a three year period, which will be helpful in supporting the development of thhe Medium Term Financial Strategy. The Council will contribute to submissions to the spending review from LCR and Sigoma as well as our own submission.

- Government have announced plans regarding the funding of Health & Social Care which will form part of the spending review, the details of which will be assessed once received to identify the full implications for the Council.
- Effective Business Planning to ensure that appropriate resources are directed towards the Councils key strategic priorities
- Budget setting is aligned to the annual Business Planning Cycle in order to ensure that the value of financial resources are maximised
- Budget Risk Register works in conjunction with the Budget Setting Cycle to ensure that emerging budget risks are identified together with relevant mitigating measures
- Exploring the potential for collaboration, shared services and partnership working with neighbouring Local Authorities
- Continue to respond to new challenges to maintain current performance

COVID 19

 The 2020/21 Council budget has been monitored closely during the year, along with Covid-19 related costs and associated funding provided by Government. Lobbying of Government has been undertaken via LCR to seek full funding for Covid-19 related costs and

- income losses. Overall spending has been closely managed in order to mitigate the potential net overspend by year-end
- Covid19 related costs are being separately identified within the Agresso system as far as possible and along with estimated income losses are being reported monthly to MHCLG
- Covid19 related costs and income losses are being closely monitored and will be reported regularly to Management Team and Executive Board
- A review of the 2020/21 Council budget will be undertaken in order to assess the impact of Covid19 upon the existing budget, in light of the level of Covid19 funding provided by Government. The outcome of this review will identify any funding gaps which will require action such as spending reductions, use of reserves etc
- The 2021-2024 Medium Term Financial Strategy will be reviewed to assess the likely impact of Covid19 upon the Council's forecast spending requirements and funding resources over the next three years. In particular, the impact of the expected economic recession upon council tax and business rates income will be modelled, as well as ongoing spending pressures and levels of Government funding. This will provide the framework for preparing future years' annual budgets and anticipating potential budget funding gaps

KEEPING HALTON COMMUNITY SAFE

| Item | Identified risk | Impact ⁱⁱⁱ (Severity) | Likelihood (Probability) | Unmitigate d Risk Score (I x L) | Council Priority Area(s) |
|------|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| 10 | A failure to monitor and appropriately manage the risks created by global, national and local events, and how these might impact on local community tensions, could potentially lead to a threat to security and have an adverse effect on the stability of Halton's communities. | 5 | 3 | 15 | A Healthy Halton / Environment and Regeneration / A Safer Halton |

| Risk control measures | Residua | al score with implemente | | Timescale / Review | Lead Officer/s |
|---|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Impact (Severity) | Likelihood (Probability) | Mitigated Risk Score (I x L) | frequency | |
| The Safer Halton Partnership (SHP) involves joint working, clear communications and information sharing across various partner agencies, including emergency services. The partnership works to ensure that there is community cohesion with safe and secure neighbourhood environments Multi agency Community Safety team that addresses anti-social behaviour and crime thus supporting the SHP agenda The Channel Panel is a multi-agency group which provides support for those who are vulnerable to be drawn into terrorism through a programme of early intervention and diversion | 4 | 3 | 12 | 6 monthly | Chief Executive (David Parr) |
| Emergency Planning team have developed and tested Multi-Agency Response plans to mitigate a number of risks within the borough | | | | | |

27 | Page

CP-REP-FRM-92.10.1

Strategic Risk Register

Hardcopies of this document are considered uncontrolled please refer to the Council website or intranet for latest version.

- In response to 'Major Accident' cloudburst incidents occurring at Upper Tier COMAH sites; Emergency Planning Team have tested and validated Emergency COMAH Plans for all 9 sites
- Emergency Planning Team work in partnership with the Cheshire Resilience Forum to provide an integrated approach for facilitating and responding with emergencies across Cheshire
- Emergency Planning Team work with cross border organisations and responding agencies within the Liverpool City Region regarding cross border risks and resilience planning
- Critical Incident Management procedures, including 'lockdown', have been developed, communicated and tested for Council buildings and schools
- Security surveys conducted for main council buildings (currently under review) and schools
- COVID-19, see section 1

CHANGES TO GOVERNMENT ARRANGEMENTS

| Item | Identified risk | Impact (Severity) | Likelihood (Probability) | Unmitigated Risk Score (I x L) | Council Priority Area(s) |
|------|--|----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 11 | Changes to Government arrangements and other public sector organisations could potentially lead to a deterioration of local services | 5 | 5 | 25 | A Healthy Halton / Employment, learning and skills / Children and Young People / A Safer Halton / Corporate effectiveness and business efficiency |

| Risk control measures | Residual score with measures implemented | | | Timescale / Review | Lead Officer/s |
|--|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Impact (Severity) | Likelihood (Probability) | Mitigated Risk Score (I x L) | icore | |
| Ensuring that both Members and officers from the Council plays an active role in the Combined Authority for the Liverpool City Region Through 'One Halton' work the Authority is ensuring a smooth interaction between Health and Halton Council colleagues, to ensure that Halton's interests are recognised and looked after in any new governance arrangements | 5 | 3 | 15 | 6 monthly | Chief Executive (David Parr) |

MERSEY GATEWAY

| Item | Identified risk | Impact (Severity) | Likelihoo d (Probabili ty) | Unmitigat ed Risk Score (I x L) | Council Priority Area(s) |
|------|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| 12 | Lack of effective management of and adherence to governance arrangements / contractual requirements or disrupted journeys could lead to increased project costs. In addition these could also lead to adverse publicity and reputational risks to the Council | 5 | 3 | 15 | Environment and Regeneration / Employment, Learning and Skills |

| Risk control measures | Residua | al score with implemente | | Timescale / Review frequency | Lead Officer/s |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| | Impact (Severit y) | Likelihoo d (Probabili ty) | Mitigated Risk Score (I x L) | | |
| Demand Management Participation Agreement (DMPA) Base line is realistic and achievable Agreed contract is designed to incentivise an increase in volumes of traffic Mersey Gateway Crossings Board has a role in managing the DMPA Effective publicity around speed and reliability of bridge Tolling Risk In order to mitigate the risk of the project not delivering sufficient toll revenue each year to meet project costs, a liquidity reserve of £19m | 5 | 2 | 10 | 6 monthly | Strategic Director - Enterprise Community & Resources (Ian Leivesley) |

30 | Page CP-REP-FRM-92.10.1

Strategic Risk Register

Hardcopies of this document are considered uncontrolled please refer to the Council website or intranet for latest version.

has been established jointly by the Department for Transport and Halton Borough Council (through borrowing funded from future toll revenues). If necessary the reserve will be topped-up periodically by undertaking further borrowing.

COVID 19

The impact of COVID 19 on traffic using the Mersey Gateway Bridge
has been reviewed and incorporated into short term plans, will be
constantly reviewed and the position is currently sustainable

Conclusion of Construction Phase to Subsequent Operating Phase

- Dedicated company (Mersey Gateway Crossings Board Ltd) now established, with suitably experienced staff and directors, both Executive and Non-executive, and supported by class leading professional advisers. The relationship between Council and MGCB is detailed within a Governance Agreement
- Routine project assurance monitored through external bodies including specialist non-executive directors and advisers on the Board of Directors of MGCB, external Gateway Reviews (4Ps) Department for Transport and HM Treasury scrutiny at specific project milestones
- Delivery within the Funding Framework agreed with Government that is reviewed at regular intervals and managed through the Mersey Gateway Crossings Board's Risk Register, which is reviewed regularly by both the Audit Committee and the Board of Directors
- Maintenance of effective relationships with Government Departments (as co funders for MG) maintained by both Department for Transport and HM Treasury being represented on the Board of Directors of MGCB

COMMUNITY EXPECTATIONS

| Item | Identified risk | Impact (Severity) | Likelihoo d (Probabili ty) | Unmitigat ed Risk Score (I x L) | Council Priority Area(s) | | |
|------|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|---------------|-----|
| 13 | Failure to effectively realise community expectations could lead to damage to the Authorities reputation and credibility resulting in negative views towards the transparency of the decision making process | 5 | 4 | 20 | Corporate Efficiency | Effectiveness | and |

| Risk control measures | Residua | al score with implemente | | Timescale / Review frequency | Lead Officer/s |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Impact (Severit y) | Likelihoo d (Probabili ty) | Mitigated Risk Score (I x L) | | |
| Consultation and community engagement embedded in the Council's constitution (local code of corporate governance) Utilising recognised mediums to identify, communicate and coordinate community expectations and priorities. These include: Surveys; Customer analysis; On line services; Local and social media; Target consultation exercises for specific projects; Service user groups; | 4 | 4 | 16 | 6 monthly | All Strategic Directors |

32 | Page

CP-REP-FRM-92.10.1

Strategic Risk Register

Hardcopies of this document are considered uncontrolled please refer to the Council website or intranet for latest version.

Elected member surgeries; and Other meetings

- Conducting Equality Impact Assessments with new and revised Policies
- Honesty and integrity by the Authority in communicating with the public having regard to reducing budgets including promoting a selfhelp agenda
- Any decisions to cease or amend service provision that has a significant impact on communities; early warning of intended actions through direct engagement with relevant communities to invite views
- Continue to respond to new challenges to maintain current performance
- COVID-19, Residents, partners, members, MP and staff kept informed via various platforms
 - 1. Specific area on www.halton.gov.uk set up to highlight changes to services and information available to support the community
 - 2. Press releases issued to local broadcast and newspaper media
 - 3. Council's social media accounts used to cascade details quickly in the first six weeks there were 1.92m social media impressions and nearly 500,000 visits to website
 - 4. For persons who did not have access to the internet or do not use the internet regularly to access information, a special issue of Inside Halton produced and hand delivered to over 35k homes.

PARTNERSHIPS

| Item | Identified risk | Impact (Severity) | Likelihood (Probability) | Unmitigat ed Risk Score (I x L) | Council Priority Area(s) |
|------|---|--------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|
| 14 | Ineffective and poorly governed partnerships, wherever they sit within the council, with statutory and non-statutory organisations will lead to a lack of accountability and ineffective use of resources resulting in a failure to meet the needs of and improve outcomes for local communities. | | 3 | 9 | A Healthy Halton / Employment Learning and Skills / Children and Young People / A Safer Halton / Environment and Regeneration |

| Risk control measures | | al score with i | | Timescale / Review frequency | Lead Officer/s |
|---|--------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Impact (Severity) | Likelihood (Probabilit y) | Mitigated Risk Score (I x L) | | |
| Having efficient and effective arrangements with external partners through a shared strategic vision and action plans enables and influences partners to deliver at local levels Maintaining financial probity with the pooled budgets, as appropriate, with partners through effective governance arrangements Engagement with communities and partners on service priorities in order to identify and design alternative forms of delivery, as appropriate, maximising opportunities for joint working Collaborating with partners to identify and address community issues COVID-19, Stakeholders and Partners have a responsibility to have effective and well developed relationships which will enable a swift and effect | 2 | 2 | 4 | 6 monthly | Chief Executive (David Parr) |

| | 1 | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| responsive for Halton residents. These responsibilities are detailed in the | | | |
| council's emergency plans and local outbreak plans. | | | |

FRAUD

| Item | Identified risk | Impact (Severity) | Likelihood (Probabilit y) | Unmitigat ed Risk Score (I x L) | Council Priority Area(s) |
|------|--|----------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| 15 | Failure to prevent and detect fraud and/or corruption may lead to significant financial loss and reputational damage | 4 | 3 | 12 | Corporate Effectiveness and Efficiency |

| Risk control measures | Residual score with measures implemented | | | Timescal e / | Lead Officer/s |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| | Impact (Severity) | Likelihoo d (Probabili ty) | Mitigated Risk Score (I x L) | Review frequenc y | |
| The Audit and Governance Board monitors and reviews the adequacy of the Council's anti-fraud and corruption policies and arrangements. These arrangements include: Maintenance of an effective system of internal control Rigorous pre-employment checks of new employees Officers' Code of Conduct Members' Code of Conduct Gifts and Hospitality Policy Registration of Interests Local Code of Corporate Governance Whistleblowing arrangements | 3 | 2 | 6 | 6 monthly | Strategic Director – Enterprise, Community & Resources (Ian Leivesley) |


- Finance Standing Orders
- Procurement Standing Orders
- Anti-Fraud, Bribery & Corruption Strategy
- Fraud Response Plan
- Fraud Sanction and Prosecution Policy
- Anti-Facilitation of Tax Evasion Policy
- Fraud and bribery awareness training
- A continuous internal audit of the Council's systems and services
- A corporate fraud investigation team, which receives fraud referrals, investigates allegations, recovers losses and sanctions fraudsters
- Crime insurance policy to indemnify the Council against significant financial loss resulting from fraud
- Participation in the National Fraud Initiative
- Fraud awareness campaigns encouraging members of the public and employees to raise any concerns about fraud and corruption
- Collaboration with other local authorities and sharing of best practice in regard to tackling fraud and corruption
- Formal arrangements with the DWP to participate in joint criminal fraud investigations relating to the Council Tax Reduction Scheme (CTRS) and social security benefit fraud
- Membership of the National Anti-Fraud Network (NAFN), which is the largest shared service in the country and provides data, intelligence and best practice in support of fraud and investigation work

CP-REP-FRM-92.10.1

FUNDING AND INCOME GENERATION

| Item | Identified risk | Impact (Severity) | Likelihood (Probabilit y) | Unmitigat ed Risk Score (I x L) | Council Priority Area(s) |
|------|---|----------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|
| 16 | Failure to maximise and identify funding opportunities in light of government cuts resulting in a potential challenge of the Councils capacity to delivery its priorities | 4 | 4 | 16 | A Healthy Halton / Employment, Learning and Skills / Children and Young People / A Safer Halton |

| Risk control measures | Residual score with measures implemented | | | Timescale / Review frequency | Lead Officer/s |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Impact (Severity) | Likelihoo d (Probabili ty) | Mitigated Risk Score (I x L) | | |
| Continuing to identify funding streams and income generating options through horizon scanning, alternative untapped funding opportunities and shared partnerships with 3rd sector, private sector, and other public sector bodies Review team structures and redeploy staff to respond to emerging funding streams e.g. housing, climate change and town centre funding. During the budget setting process Directorates identify and prioritise funding requirements biannually including ensuring that there are systems to capture and report when funding comes to an end Corporate External Funding Team reports to Executive Board and Management Team to highlight services the Team can offer and meets with Departments to | | 4 | 12 | 6 monthly | All Strategic Directors |



FUNDING AND INCOME GENERATION

| Item | Identified risk | Impact (Severity) | Likelihood (Probabilit y) | Unmitigat ed Risk Score (I x L) | Council Priority Area(s) |
|------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|--|--------------------------|
|------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|--|--------------------------|

| 17 | Uncertainty surrounding transition arrangements in respect of European Funding | 4 | 5 | 20 | A Healthy Halton / Employment, Learning and Skills / Children and Young |
|----|--|---|---|----|--|
| | Lack of certainty regarding the length of European Programmes and Successor Funding through the 'Transformation and Prosperity Fund' | | | | People / A Safer Halton |

| Risk control measures | Residual score with measures implemented | | | Timescale / Review frequency | Lead Officer/s |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Impact (Severity) | Likelihoo d (Probabili ty) | Mitigated Risk Score (I x L) | | |
| • The Shared Prosperity Fund will be launched in 2022, the current draft criteria appear to disadvantage Halton. | 4 | 4 | 16 | 6 monthly | All Strategic Directors |

Version Control Record

| Version | Date Created | Date of Amendment: | Nature of Amendment | Date of Next Review: |
|---------|--------------|--------------------|--|----------------------|
| 1.0 | 13.10.11 | | | |
| 1.1 | | 28.08.12 | Progress Commentary | |
| 2.0 | | 13.03.13 | Reviewed and updated | 13.10.13 |
| 2.1 | | 20.09.13 | Progress Commentary | |
| 3.0 | | 31.03.14 | Reviewed and updated in line with the Corporate Peer Challenge and the revised Business Planning Process and associated guidance notes | 13.10.14 |
| 3.1 | | 15.09.14 | Progress Commentary | |
| 4.0 | | 10.04.15 | Reviewed and updated | 12.10.15 |
| 4.1 | | 10.09.15 | Progress Commentary | |
| 5.0 | | 01.04.16 | Reviewed and updated | 01.04.17 |
| 5.1 | | 10.09.16 | Progress Commentary | |
| 6.0 | | 01.04.17 | Reviewed and updated | 01.09.17 |

| 6.1 | 10.09.17 | Progress Commentary | |
|------|----------|----------------------|----------|
| 7.0 | 01.04.18 | Reviewed and updated | 01.09.18 |
| 7.1 | 01.09.18 | Progress Commentary | |
| 8.0 | 01.04.19 | Reviewed and updated | 01.09.19 |
| 8.1 | 01.09.19 | Progress Commentary | |
| 9.0 | 01.05.20 | Reviewed and updated | 01.05.20 |
| 9.1 | 01.09.20 | Progress Commentary | |
| 10.0 | 01.04.21 | Reviewed and updated | 01.04.21 |
| 10.1 | 01.09.21 | Progress Commentary | |

Scoring Mechanism

Once the business risks are identified and analysed they are scored by multiplying the impact and likelihood. They will then establish a final score (or significance rating) for that risk:

+‡+

I M P A C T

| ні | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 |
|----|-------------|--------|------|------|--------|
| S | 4 | 8 | 12 | 16 | 20 |
| М | 3 | 6 | 9 | 12 | 15 |
| L | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 |
| IM | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| | H IMPROB | IMPROB | POSS | PROB | н prob |

LIKELIHOOD

Those that have been placed in the red boxes are the primary or Top Risks followed by lower risks leading to improbable risks.

Measures to control the risks are identified from the following options;

- 1. Reducing the likelihood; or
- 2. Reducing the impact; or
- 3. Changing the consequences of the risks by,
 - Avoidance
 - Reduction
 - Retention
 - Transference; or
- 4. Devising Contingencies, i.e. Business Continuity Planning

The risks are scored again to establish the effects the measures have once implemented on reducing the risks and identify a score rating for residual risks.